

Brane Induced Gravity from its Dynamical Origin

Keiichi Akama*

Department of Physics, Saitama Medical College, Kawakado, Moroyama, Saitama, 350-04, Japan

We develop a quantum theoretical formalism to derive the brane gravity from its origin — brane-generating dynamics in higher dimensions. Based on it, we discuss characteristic properties of the brane induced gravity, as well as brane induced field theory.

The idea of the induced gravity was proposed by Sakharov in 1967, where the Einstein gravity is identified with the quantum fluctuations of metric elasticity of space [1]. The field theoretical formulations of the induced gravity were developed about a decade later [2,3,4,5], inspired by the progresses in the unified theory [6] with induced (composite) gauge fields [7] and by those in the loop diagram calculations [8] in the quantum gravity. The spacetime metric or vielbein is a quantum composite object which is absent in the microscopic description [4]. The fundamental action for the scalar-induced gravity is nothing but the Nambu-Goto action [9] of the brane, if we identify the fundamental scalar fields with the space-time coordinates. This fact naturally leads us to the idea that we live on a dynamically generated braneworld with induced gravity [10,11]. Besides the gravitational field, the extrinsic curvature and the normal-connection gauge field become dynamical [12]. In this view the Einstein gravity on the brane is induced also from the extrinsic curvature effects via the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equation [13,14]. Recently, the brane induced gravity attracted revived attentions with interesting developments and some disputes [15]. In its derivation, however, the quantum nature of the system has so far been obscure, in spite of its essential reliance on the quantum fluctuation effects. In the present work, we would like to develop a fully quantum theoretical formalism to derive the Einstein-like gravity on the brane from the origin of brane-generating dynamics in higher dimensions.

Consider a set of fields $\{\Phi\}$ (in general, including higher spin and spinor fields) in $p+q+1$ -space-time with the action

$$S = \int L(\{\Phi\}, \{\partial_K \Phi\}) d_{p+q+1} X, \quad (1)$$

which is invariant under translation, Lorentz transformation, and internal symmetry G with degenerate potential minima. Let us assume that the equation of motion from (1) has a static soliton solution $\Phi = \Phi_{\text{sol}}(X^{\underline{a}})$ localized around the p -brane $X_{\underline{a}} = 0$ [16], such that

$$\Phi_{\text{sol}} \sim \Phi_{\text{vac}} + O(e^{-r/\delta}) \quad \text{for } r = \sqrt{-X^{\underline{a}} X_{\underline{a}}} \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

where δ is a constant, and Φ^{vac} is a singular function which takes the values of the degenerate potential minima except at the singularity at $X^{\underline{a}} = 0$. This is interpreted as a flat p -brane localized around $X^{\underline{a}} = 0$. For example, the kink solution of the scalar field in the double-well potential, the Nielsen-Olesen vortex in $U(1)$ gauge-Higgs model, and the Skyrmion with non-vanishing pseudo-scalar mass are known to have these properties. Learning from the existing examples, we assume that the solution have “spherical symmetry” of the type

$$\forall \mathcal{R} \in O(q), \exists g \in G, U(\mathcal{R})V(g)\Phi_{\text{sol}} = \Phi_{\text{sol}} \quad (3)$$

where $U(\mathcal{R})$ and $V(g)$ are representation matrices of the rotation group $O(q)$ and the internal symmetry group G , respectively.

The quantum dynamics of the system is described by the generating functional of the Green functions:

$$W(J) = \int d\Phi \exp [iS + iJ * a(\Phi)], \quad (4)$$

where J is a function of X^K , $a(\Phi)$ is a function of Φ , the asterisk “*” indicates convolution, and the path-integration measure $d\Phi$ is normalized by $W(0) = 1$. We consider the sector of configurations connected with Φ_{sol} without high energy barriers. The configurations of Φ_{sol} and its small fluctuations would give dominant contributions to the path integral. Among the fluctuations, the translation zero modes give rise to shifts of the brane position. The shifts can, in general, depends on X^a , which means that the brane becomes curved. We should also take into account the globally large fluctuations as far as the local curvature is small, since they could have comparable contributions. We denote the position of the brane by $\mathbf{y}(x^\mu)$ with $p+1$ parameters x^μ . We define the frame of orthonormal tangent vectors $\mathbf{n}_k(x^\mu)$, and normal vectors $\mathbf{n}_{\underline{k}}(x^\mu)$ at each point on the brane. Then the derivatives $\mathbf{y}_{,\mu}$ and $\mathbf{n}_{I,\mu}$ are expanded in terms of $\mathbf{n}_I$ [16]:

$$\mathbf{y}_{,\mu} = \mathbf{n}_k e^k_{\mu}, \quad \mathbf{n}_{I,\mu} = \mathbf{n}_K \omega^K_{I\mu}, \quad (5)$$

where e^k_{μ} is the vielbein on the brane, and $\omega^K_{I\mu}$ is the connection coefficient. Among them, $\omega^k_{i\mu}$ is the tangential connection, $\omega^{\underline{k}}_{\mu\nu}$ is the extrinsic curvature, and $\omega^{\underline{k}}_{i\mu}$ is the normal connection of the brane.

Then we introduce the curvilinear coordinate $x^M = (x^\mu, x^{\underline{m}})$ by

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{y}(x^\mu) + x^{\underline{m}} \mathbf{n}_{\underline{m}}(x^\mu) \quad (6)$$

The coordinate should be defined otherwise appropriately in the far apart regions where the x^m coordinates by (6) intersect each other. We define the field ϕ in the curvilinear coordinate x^M and the orthonormal frame $\mathbf{n}_I$ by

$$\Phi(X^K) = U(L)V(g)\phi(x^M), \quad (7)$$

where $L \in O(p+q, 1)$ is defined by $L_I^K = (\mathbf{n}^K)_I$, and $g \in G$ is the element which exists by (3) with $L_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{k}} = \mathcal{R}_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{k}}$. The derivative of Φ is transformed as follows [12]

$$\partial\Phi(X^K) = U(L)V(g)\mathbf{n}^K D_K \phi(x^M) \quad (8)$$

with $D_{\underline{k}} = \partial_{\underline{k}}$ and

$$D_k = (K^{-1})_k^l e_l^\mu \left\{ \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2} \omega_{ij\mu} \sigma^{ij} - i \omega_{ij\mu} \sigma^{ij} - \frac{i}{2} \omega_{ij\mu} (\sigma^{ij} + \lambda^{ij} + 2ix^i \partial^j) \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where $K_k^l = \eta_k^l - x^i \omega_{ik}^l$, and σ^{IJ} and λ^{ij} are the representation matrices of the group generators of $O(p+q, 1)$ and G , respectively. Then the action is rewritten as

$$S = \int e \det K_k^l L(\{\phi\}, \{D_K \phi\}) \Pi dx^\mu \Pi dx^{\underline{k}} \quad (10)$$

with $e = \det e_\mu^k$.

By (3) and (7), $\phi = \Phi_{\text{sol}}(x^{\underline{k}})$ satisfies the equation of motion except in the far-apart singular region of (6). The errors caused by them are exponentially suppressed for small brane-curvatures. We neglect the errors, and expand ϕ in terms of the complete set $\{\varphi_n(x^{\underline{k}})\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ of the fluctuations of the soliton Φ_{sol} :

$$\phi(x^\mu, x^{\underline{k}}) = \Phi_{\text{sol}}(x^{\underline{k}}) + \sum_n \xi_n(x^\mu) \varphi_n(x^{\underline{k}}), \quad (11)$$

where $\xi_n(x^\mu)$ is the appropriate coefficient depending on x^μ . We change the path-integration variable in $W(J)$ in (4) from $\Phi(X^M)$ to $\xi_n(x^\mu)$. Since (11) is linear in them, the Jacobian is a numerical constant which is absorbed by path-integral measure normalization.

The complete set $\{\varphi_n(x^{\underline{k}})\}$ include the zero modes $\varphi_{\text{Tr}0}^{\underline{k}}(x^{\underline{k}})$ and $\varphi_{\text{Lz}0}^{\underline{k}l}(x^{\underline{k}})$ associated with the translation and the Lorentz invariance, respectively, which are broken by the brane. They cause shifts in the brane position $\mathbf{y}_\Phi(x^\lambda)$ and orthonormal frame $\mathbf{n}_\Phi(x^\lambda)$, and cause double counting of the field configurations. We choose $\mathbf{y}_\Phi(x^\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{n}_\Phi(x^\lambda)$ so that the coefficients $\xi_{\underline{k}}^{\text{Tr}0}(x^\lambda)$ of $\varphi_{\text{Tr}0}^{\underline{k}}(x^{\underline{k}})$ and those $\xi_{\underline{k}l}^{\text{Lz}0}(x^\lambda)$ of $\varphi_{\text{Lz}0}^{\underline{k}l}(x^{\underline{k}})$ vanish. These choice prescriptions work well for the configurations with large contributions, i.e. for those with small brane curvatures.

Then we insert into the path-integral in (4)

$$1 = \int d\mathbf{y} \delta(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_\Phi) d\mathbf{n}_I \delta(\mathbf{n}_I - \mathbf{n}_{\Phi I}) \quad (12)$$

$$1 = \int de_{k\mu} \delta(e_{k\mu} - \mathbf{n}_k \mathbf{y}_{,\mu}) d\omega_{IJ\mu} \delta(\omega_{IJ\mu} - \mathbf{n}_I \mathbf{n}_{J,\mu}) \quad (13)$$

where integrations and delta functions are product over x^μ and over independent tensorial components. We identify $\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{n}_I$ with the wrongly chosen brane position and orthonormal frame, respectively, in the above-prescribed choice of $\mathbf{y}_\Phi$ and $\mathbf{n}_{\Phi I}$. Then the above choice prescription leads to

$$\delta(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_\Phi) = \delta(\xi_{\underline{k}}^{\text{Tr}0}) \delta(0)^{p+1} \quad (14)$$

$$\delta(\mathbf{n}_I - \mathbf{n}_{\Phi I}) = \delta(\xi_{\underline{k}l}^{\text{Lz}0}) \delta(0)^{p(p+1)/2 + q(q-1)/2}. \quad (15)$$

We regularize the infinities of $\delta(0)$ by the definition

$$\delta(z) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta_\epsilon(z), \quad \delta_\epsilon(z) = \int_{-1/\epsilon}^{1/\epsilon} e^{ikz} dk, \quad (16)$$

where the limit is taken at the final stage of calculation (though ϵ is not explicitly shown hereafter). Note that the Lorentz invariance is restored in the limit.

Now we prove the general path-integral formula for functions $f(x^\lambda)$ and $v_\mu(x^\lambda)$

$$\int df \delta(v_\mu - f_{,\mu}) = c \delta(v_{[\mu, \nu]}) \quad (17)$$

where c is an overall constant factor [16]. We discretize the x^μ -space into $N_0 \times N_1 \times \dots \times N_p$ lattice with the lattice constant h by

$$\mathcal{L} = \{ \hat{x} = k_0 \hat{h}_0 + k_1 \hat{h}_1 \dots + k_p \hat{h}_p \mid k_\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N_\mu (\mu = 0, 1, \dots, p) \} \quad (18)$$

where the hat indicates a $p+1$ -dimensional vector, and $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{h}_\mu$ are defined by $(\hat{x})^\mu = x^\mu$, and $(\hat{h}_\mu)^\nu = h \delta_\mu^\nu$. Then, the left-hand side of the (17) is the $h \rightarrow 0$ limit of

$$\prod_{\hat{x} \in \mathcal{L}} \left[\int df(\hat{x}) \prod_{\mu=0}^p \delta \left(v_\mu(\hat{x}) - \frac{f(\hat{x}) - f(\hat{x} - \hat{h}_\mu)}{h} \right) \right] \quad (19)$$

We rewrite the delta function with $\mu = 0$ in (19) as

$$h \delta \left(h v_0(\hat{x}) - f(\hat{x}) + f(\hat{x} - \hat{h}_0) \right). \quad (20)$$

and rewrite those with $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, p$ as

$$\delta \left(v_\mu(\hat{x}) - v_\mu(\hat{x} - \hat{h}_0) - v_0(\hat{x}) + v_0(\hat{x} - \hat{h}_\mu) \right) \quad (21)$$

using the expressions for $v_\mu(\hat{x} - \hat{h}_0)$, $v_0(\hat{x})$ and $v_0(\hat{x} - \hat{h}_\mu)$ from their respective delta functions. To restore symmetry under permutations of x_μ in (21), we multiply them by the factors

$$\delta \left(v_\mu(\hat{x}) - v_\mu(\hat{x} - \hat{h}_\nu) - v_\nu(\hat{x}) + v_\nu(\hat{x} - \hat{h}_\mu) \right) \quad (22)$$

with $0 < \mu < \nu \leq p$. Owing to (21), the expression (22) is equal to an infinite constant $\delta(0)$. Since there are no delta functions at the boundary $x^\nu = 0$, we need to impose appropriate boundary conditions for the functions. Using (20)–(22), we rewrite (19) as

$$\prod_{\hat{x} \in \mathcal{L}} \left[\int df(\hat{x}) \delta \left(h v_0(\hat{x}) - f(\hat{x}) + f(\hat{x} - \hat{h}_0) \right) \prod_{\mu < \nu} \delta \left(\frac{v_\mu(\hat{x}) - v_\mu(\hat{x} - \hat{h}_\nu)}{h} - \frac{v_\nu(\hat{x}) - v_\nu(\hat{x} - \hat{h}_\mu)}{h} \right) \right] / h^{p(p+1)/2-1} \delta(0)^{p(p-1)/2} \quad (23)$$

We perform path-integration with respect to f , and take the limit $h \rightarrow 0$, which gives the right-hand side of (17) with $c = h^{p(p+1)/2-1} \delta(0)^{p(p-1)/2}$. *q.e.d.*

We apply (17) to ingredients of (12) and (13):

$$\int d\mathbf{y} \delta(e_{k\mu} - \mathbf{n}_k \mathbf{y}_{,\mu}) = c_1 \delta(\omega_{[\mu\nu]}^k + e_{[\mu,\nu]}^k) \quad (24)$$

$$\int d\mathbf{n}_I \delta(\omega_{IJ\mu} - \mathbf{n}_I \mathbf{n}_{J,\mu}) = c_2 \delta(\omega_{IJ[\mu,\nu]} + \omega_{KI[\mu} \omega_{J\nu]}^K) \quad (25)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants. In deriving (24) and (25), we have used the fact that $\det[(\mathbf{n}_I)_J] = 1$. The expression (24) imposes the usual relation between $\omega_{ij\mu}$ and $e_{k\mu}$:

$$\omega_{ij\mu} = (c_{[ij]\mu} - c_{\mu ij})/2 \text{ with } c_{i\mu\nu} = e_{i[\mu,\nu]}, \quad (26)$$

while that in (25) imposes the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equation on $\omega_{IJ\mu}$. Collecting the results, we obtain

$$W(J) = \int de_{k\mu} d\omega_{ij\mu} d\omega_{\underline{ij}\mu} \Pi_n d\xi_n \delta(\omega_{IJ[\mu,\nu]} + \omega_{KI[\mu} \omega_{J\nu]}^K) e^{iS + iJ * a(\Phi)}, \quad (27)$$

where $\Pi_n d\xi_n$ does not include the zero modes, and $\omega_{ij\mu}$ are taken as expressed in terms of $e_{k\mu}$ by (26).

Now we expand S in (10) in terms of $\varphi_n(x^{\underline{k}})$ in (11). Among $\varphi_n(x^{\underline{k}})$, those below energy threshold are localized around the brane. We call the part of S with only the localized modes as S_{loc} , and the rest as S_{bulk} . We perform the $x^{\underline{k}}$ integration in S_{loc} , which gives the brane action S_{br} . The action S_{br} is a functional of the vielbein $e_{k\mu}^\mu$, the extrinsic curvature $\omega_{k\mu\nu}$, the coefficients ξ_n^{loc} of the localized modes, and their covariant derivatives $\mathcal{D}_\mu \xi_n^{\text{loc}}$ with respect to the gauge group $O(p, 1) \times O(q)$, which are written in terms of the connection (26) and the normal-connection gauge field $\omega_{k\mu}$.

Then we expand S_{br} in terms of $\omega_{k\mu\nu}$, $\omega_{k\mu}$, ξ_n^{loc} , and $\partial_\mu \xi_n^{\text{loc}}$. The coefficient of each term is calculable if the model is specified (See e.g. [13]). We expect that the

lower order terms are important at low energies. Since ξ_n are the small fluctuations of the solution Φ_{sol} , the terms with single ξ_n are absent in S_{br} . The lowest order terms are those bilinear in them, giving the mass and kinetic terms of ξ_n^{loc} . The higher terms including ξ_n^{loc} give their interaction terms. Thus the field theory of ξ_n^{loc} is induced on the brane.

The normal connection gauge field $\omega_{k\mu}$ appear only through the covariant derivative $\mathcal{D}_\mu \xi_n^{\text{loc}}$, and has no mass and kinetic terms. The extrinsic curvature $\omega_{k\mu\nu}$ appear in the overall factor $\det K_k^l$, and twice in the in D_k in (9). Terms solely with $\omega_{k\mu\nu}$ come from

$$\int e \det K_k^l L(\{\Phi_{\text{sol}}\}, \{\partial_{\underline{k}} \Phi_{\text{sol}}\}) \Pi dx^\mu \Pi dx^{\underline{k}}, \quad (28)$$

$$\det K_k^l = 1 - x^{\underline{k}} \omega_{\underline{k}\mu}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} x^{\underline{k}} x^{\underline{l}} (\omega_{\underline{k}\mu}^\mu \omega_{\underline{l}\nu}^\nu - \omega_{\underline{k}\mu}^\nu \omega_{\underline{l}\nu}^\mu) + \dots \quad (29)$$

If we assume the parity invariance of the Lagrangian L , $\det K_k^l$ in (28) reduces to

$$\det K_k^l = 1 - \frac{1}{2q} x^{\underline{k}} x^{\underline{l}} (\omega_{\underline{l}\mu}^\mu \omega_{\underline{k}\nu}^\nu - \omega_{\underline{l}\mu}^\nu \omega_{\underline{k}\nu}^\mu) + \dots \quad (30)$$

The second term of (30) give rise to the mass term of $\omega_{k\mu\nu}$, but no kinetic term exists. The vielbein $e_{k\mu}$ appear in the overall factor e , in the connection (26) in the covariant derivative $\mathcal{D}_\mu \xi_n^{\text{loc}}$, and various places to make each term reparametrization invariant. It solely appear only in the term proportional to e in (28) (which is the cosmological term), and no kinetic term of $e_{k\mu}$ exists apparently.

The Gauss equation from (25), however, converts the $\omega_{k\mu\nu}$ mass term in (28) into the Einstein-Hilbert action, and $e_{k\mu}$ becomes dynamical. Furthermore, the quantum fluctuations of ξ_n^{loc} give rise to the kinetic terms of $e_{k\mu}$, $\omega_{k\mu\nu}$, and $\omega_{k\mu}$, making them dynamical, as has been extensively studied [1,2,3,4,5,6,7]. Naively they are divergent in the ultraviolet region, and usually some cutoff is assumed. Such a cutoff is rather natural in the braneworld, since the brane descriptions themselves would no longer be appropriate at very high energies, or very short distances compared with characteristic scales of the brane width and depth. Phenomenologically, the cutoff should be of the order of the Planck scale. Because of the large cutoff scale, the Einstein-Hilbert action dominates over the other kinetic terms of $e_{k\mu}$ (e.g. R^2 terms) at low energies. The same effects also induce a cosmological term with the large scale, and, phenomenologically, should be fine-tuned so that it cancels that in (28). The extrinsic curvature $\omega_{k\mu\nu}$ acquires masses of the order of the Planck scale, since no symmetry protect them. The gauge field $\omega_{k\mu}$ would acquire mass if some of the φ_n^{loc} violate $O(q)$ symmetry. The gravity is Einstein-like, but a difference is that $e_{k\mu}$, $\omega_{k\mu\nu}$, and $\omega_{k\mu}$ also obey the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equation. Quantum

fluctuations due to the bulk action S_{bulk} could also have some contributions to the kinetic terms of $e_{k\mu}$, $\omega_{k\mu\nu}$, and $\omega_{kl\mu}$. It would, however, be a difficult task to estimate them.

In conclusion, Einstein-like gravity with matter fields is induced on the dynamically generated braneworld. For example, for two matter sources on the brane, it automatically leads to the usual gravitational interaction with spin-2 graviton exchange. All the features of the Einstein gravity are reproduced at low energies on the brane. The effective theory is derived from its origin in a fully quantum theoretical manner. We expect that such origin-based studies would provide comprehensive answers to the disputes on the braneworlds. It would be desired to apply the formalism to various models of solitons, and to seek for the realistic models of fundamental fields as well as realistic cosmology.

The author would like to thank Professor T. Inami, Dr. T. Hattori, and Dr. H. Mukaida for discussions. This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

-
- [1] A. D. Sakharov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **177**, 70 (1967) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. **12**, 1040 (1968)].
 - [2] A. D. Sakharov, Theor. Mate. Fiz. **23**, 23 (1975).
 - [3] K. Akama, Y. Chikashige and T. Matsuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **59**, 653 (1978); K. Akama, Y. Chikashige, T. Matsuki and H. Terazawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **60**, 868 (1978);
 - [4] K. Akama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **60**, 1900 (1978).
 - [5] A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 417 (1979); S. L. Adler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 1567 (1980). D. Amati and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B **105**, 358 (1981).
 - [6] H. Terazawa, Y. Chikashige and K. Akama, Phys. Rev. **D15**, 480 (1977); T. Saito and K. Shigemoto Prog. Theor. Phys. **57**, 242 (1977).
 - [7] J. D. Bjorken, Ann. Phys. **24** (1963) 174; T. Eguchi, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 2755 (1976); K. I. Shizuya, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 2327 (1980).
 - [8] R. Utiyama and B. S. DeWitt, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 608 (1962); B. S. Dewitt, Phys. Rev. **160**, 1113; **162**, 1195; **162**, 1239 (1967); G. 't Hooft, and M. Veltman, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, **20**, 69 (1974); D. M. Capper and M. J. Duff, Nucl. Phys. B **82**, 147 (1974); D. M. Capper, M. J. Duff and L. Halpern, Phys. Rev. D **10** (1974) 461; D. M. Capper, Nuovo Cim. A **25**, 29 (1975).
 - [9] Y. Nambu, Lectures for the Copenhagen Summer Symp. 1970, unpublished; T. Goto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **46**, 1560 (1971).
 - [10] K. Akama, in *Lecture Notes in Physics, 176, Proceedings, Nara, Japan, 1982*, edited by K. Kikkawa, N. Nakanishi and H. Nariai, (Springer-Verlag, 1983) 267 (e-print: hep-th/0001113).
 - [11] For the braneworld see also D. W. Joseph, Phys. Rev. **126**, 319 (1962); V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. **B125**, 136 (1983); M. Visser, Phys. Lett. **B159**, 22 (1985). For recent developments, see for example, I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **436**, 257 (1998); L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370, 4690 (1999); M. Eto, N. Maru, N. Sakai and T. Sakata, Phys. Lett. B **553**, 87 (2003); M. Arai, S. Fujita, M. Naganuma and N. Sakai, Phys. Lett. B **556**, 192 (2003).
 - [12] K. Akama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **78**, 184 (1987); **79**, 1299 (1988); K. Akama and T. Hattori, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A15**, 2017 (2000).
 - [13] K. Akama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **80**, 935 (1988).
 - [14] T. Shiromizu, K. I. Maeda, and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 024012 (2000).
 - [15] See for example G. Dvali, G. Gabadadze, and M. Porrati, Phys. Lett. **B485**, 208 (2000); G. Dvali and G. Gabadadze, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 065007 (2001); E. Ponton and E. Poppitz, JHEP **0106**, 019 (2001); C. Charmousis, R. Emparan and R. Gregory, JHEP **0105**, 026 (2001); M. Ito, Phys. Lett. **B554**, 180 (2003); K. Maeda, S. Mizuno, and T. Torii, gr-qc/0303039 (2003).
 - [16] Capital indices stand for $0, 1, \dots, p+q$, and lower case indices without (with) a underline stand for $0, 1, \dots, p$ ($p+1, \dots, p+q$). $F_{,\mu}$ stand for $\partial_\mu F$. The Greek (Latin) indices denote the general coordinate (local Lorentz) indices. The indices are raised, lowered and converted between Greek and Latin as usual. The suffices with left and right pieces of square brackets indicate anti-symmetrization among them.